

Opioid-Free Anesthesia in Coronary Revascularization Surgery Monitoring with Nol®: A Case Report

Arnal Garcia S* and Bermudez Triano M

Department of Anesthesiology, Virgen del Rocío University Hospital, Spain

*Corresponding author:

Sonia Arnal Garcia,
Department of Anesthesiology, Virgen del Rocío
University Hospital, Spain

Received: 01 July 2024

Accepted: 12 July 2024

Published: 16 July 2024

J Short Name: ACMCR

Copyright:

©2024 Arnal Garcia S. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially

Citation:

Arnal Garcia S, Opioid-Free Anesthesia in Coronary Revascularization Surgery Monitoring with Nol®: A Case Report. *Ann Clin Med Case Rep.* 2024; V13(21): 1-2

1. Introduction

The integrated nociception index (NOL, Medtronic®) provides an alert to the presence of pain, as well as its severity, integrating and evaluating physiological responses in response to it. According to the literature available to date, it is a tool that more precisely complements other indices based on individual parameters, such as variation in heart rate or blood pressure. This monitoring quantifies the data and translates it into a figure from 0 to 100, with 0 being the absence of nociception and 100 being the maximum response to nociception. The parameters it measures, non-invasively with a fingertip, are plethysmography, conductance, skin temperature and movement.

The practice of anesthesia in cardiac surgery usually involves the use of large doses of opioids; However, there are other alternatives such as opioid-free anesthesia - using other intravenous drugs - or the combination of general anesthesia with locoregional anesthesia [1-4].

2. Material and Methods

We present the case of a 64-year-old man, ASA III, who underwent coronary revascularization surgery of the anterior descending artery and right coronary artery due to ischemic heart disease. The following management was performed: placement of a thoracic epidural catheter (level T6) with initial bolus of 0.2% ropivacaine

10 mL and continuous infusion of 0.16% ropivacaine at 8 mL/h, induction of general anesthesia with midazolam 2 mg, sevoflurane at 4 MAC, fentanyl 100 mg and rocuronium 80 mg, orotracheal intubation and radial access cannulation and central venous line. Finally, a saphenous block was performed in the left lower limb with 0.5% ropivacaine (15 mL) to extract the saphenous vein. Standard monitoring in cardiac surgery was used as monitoring: cardiac output monitor, transesophageal ultrasound, invasive tension, and also, NOL nociception monitor (integrated nociception index). The entire surgery was performed without any opioid administration other than 100 mcg of fentanyl at induction. The education was carried out without incident with extubation in the operating room and transfer to the intensive care unit to begin immediate postoperative care.

3. Results

The integrated nociception index remained below 25 throughout the surgery, and no other pain warning data (tachycardia, hypertension, etc.) were observed. He was extubated without incident, with good respiratory mechanics and denying pain. After the residual effects of anesthesia had resolved, pain was evaluated with a VAS scale, being: Rescue boluses of local anesthetic were performed through the catheter for mobilization and toileting.

The catheter was removed on the third day, at which time he was discharged to the hospital ward.

Evaluated moment.	VAS Pain Scale
First post-surgical hour	3
Second post-surgical hour	3
Fourth post-surgical hour	2
Second post-surgical day	2
Third post-surgical day	2

Rescue boluses of local anesthetic were performed through the catheter for mobilization and toileting. The catheter was removed on the third day, at which time he was discharged to the hospital ward.

4. Conclusions

1. It seems that in this case the locoregional anesthetic management with epidural and saphenous block was adequate and sufficient.
2. The nociception monitor allows evaluating whether the patient requires another type of analgesia, for example intravenous opioids, intraoperatively.
3. This strategy allowed us to reduce the use of intravenous opioids in this surgery as well as early extubation in a comfortable way.

References

1. Balan C, Bubenek-Turconi S I, Tomescu DR, Valeanu L. Ultrasound-Guided Regional Anesthesia—Current Strategies for Enhanced Recovery after Cardiac Surgery. *Medicina*. 2021.
2. Wahba A, Milojevic M, Boer C, De Somer FM, Gudbjartsson T, van den Goor J. EACTS/EACTA/EBCP guidelines on cardiopulmonary bypass in adult cardiac surgery. *Br. J. Anaesth*. 2019; 123: 713-757.
3. Ranucci M. Anaesthesia and cardiopulmonary bypass aspects of fast track. *Eur. Hear. J. Suppl*. 2017; 19: A15-A17.
4. Ochroch J, Usman A, Kiefer J, Pulton D, Shah R, Grosh T. Reducing Opioid Use in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery—Preoperative, Intraoperative, and Critical Care Strategies. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2020.
5. Shahiri TS, Richebé P, Richard-Lalonde M, Gélinas C. Description of the validity of the Analgesia Nociception Index (ANI) and Nociception Level Index (NOL) for nociception assessment in anesthetized patients undergoing surgery: a systematized review. *J Clin Monit Comput*. 2022; 36(3): 623-635.
6. Jiao Y, He B, Tong X, Xia R, Zhang C, Shi X. Intraoperative monitoring of nociception for opioid administration: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2019; 85(5): 522-530.