Texture Analysis of Quantitative ADC Maps to Differentiate Low from High Grade Glioma
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1. Introduction
Accurate discrimination between high grade gliomas (HGG) and metastatic brain tumor (MET) using noninvasive imaging is essential for selecting appropriate surgical and radiotherapy treatments and for determining the treatment response.

2. Brain Tumors
Any uncontrolled growth of abnormal cells is called a tumor and when located within the brain they are known as brain tumors. They are categorized into Primary and Secondary brain tumors in which Primary brain tumors are tumors that arise from the cells, the meninges or neurons in the brain and Secondary tumors are those that do not initiate in the brain. The most common primary ones are Gliomas and Meningiomas. Gliomas are derived from glial cells such as astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and ependymal cells. World Health Organization (WHO) classifies gliomas into four categories on the basis of their histologic features and malignancies.

3. DWI and ADC
Brain diagnostic assay represents the gold customary for histopathological diagnosing, that relies on nuclear pleomorphism, mitotic activity, physiological condition, epithelial tissue cell multiplication and presence of gangrene [1]. This is often more and more challenged by new non-invasive advanced techniques and analysis into extra sequences to enhance imaging diagnostic accuracy. Image non-uniformity quantification and a lot of correct non-invasive imaging techniques might impact patient management by permitting a lot of tailored and customized management. Discovering new ways which utilizes pictures that exist before hand so that it has the ability to not only raise the standard of diagnoses but also in addition to it, utilize scarce attention resources in the most optimal manner. Owing to its multi-parametric approach, MRI is visually a lot more heterogeneous than CT and should be a strong platform to quantify neoplasm non-uniformity with ease. MR images contain in a great amount of information on the texture properties which maybe useful for diagnosis and treatment in clinical settings. However, MRI is not capable of producing information at the microscopic level to be evaluated visually due to its basic limitations in resolution qualities. Although, textural changes maybe generated in MR images corresponding to the histological changes which can be easily quantified using texture analysis. There seems to be an inverse correlation between Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) And cellularity in tumors which is measured from Diffusion Weighted Images (DWI) or DTI [2,3]. Similarly, the use of ADC in differentiating between PCL, HGG and METS have been demonstrated in previous studies [4,5]. The role of diffusion-weighted (DW) magnetic resonance (MR) imaging with Quantitative apparent diffusion coefficients (ADCs) in the pretreatment evaluation of glioma grade has been investigated in various studies [6-11].

4. Texture Analysis
Texture is a property that describes pictorial and volumetric aspects of an object two dimensionally and three-dimensionally respectively. Both in nature and man-made objects, texture is observed and detected qualitatively by sense of touch and vision and described as fine, coarse, smooth, irregular or lineated depending upon our perception. [12] However, there exists a limitation in the
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ability of human vision to detect and differentiate complex textures [13]. Numerous parameters may be used to quantitatively define and analyse texture using various techniques of calculation. [14] But unfortunately, these methods also are unable to detect textural differences above the limits of human ability [15].

5. Method

Fourteen patients with low grade glioma and 47 patients with high grade glioma were enrolled in this retrospective study in which tumor grades were pathologically confirmed. All the participants underwent DWI on a 3.0T whole body scanner. ROIs that contained the entire tumor and peripheral edema were drawn in each slice of the ADC maps. Then texture voxel wise measurements of the entire tumor volume were obtained. Texture parameters including the following were recorded.

1. First-order and histogram parameters include min intensity, max intensity, mean value, median intensity, the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles, range, voxel number, std deviation, variance, relative deviation, mean deviation, skewness, kurtosis and uniformity.

2. Gray level co-occurrence matrix parameters consist of energy, entropy, inertia, correlation, inverse difference moment.

3. Gray level run length maxia parameters contain long run emphasis, short run emphasis, grey level nonuniformity, run length nonuniformity.

The obtained parameters were compared between groups through the SPSS 18.0. Using logistic regression analysis the independent risk factors and joint variables were obtained, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) test was used to assess the ability of independent risk factors and joint variable between low and high grade glioma. All statistical results were P < 0.05 as statistically significant.

6. Results

The ADC map of typical cases of low and high grade glioma are shown in Figure 1. The texture parameters of low and high grade glioma and comparison results are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen that min intensity, max intensity, median intensity, mean value, the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th percentiles, skewness, uniformity, correlation, inverse difference moment, short run emphasis are decreased in high grade than low grade, and on the contrary, range, voxel number, std deviation, variance, relative deviation, mean deviation, kurtosis, energy, entropy, inertia, long run emphasis, grey level nonuniformity, run length nonuniformity are increased. Among all, min intensity (p=0.041), 10th percentiles (p=0.003), voxel number (p=0.0001), skewness (p=0.001), entropy (p=0.001), inverse difference moment (p=0.002), long run emphasis (p=0.005), short run emphasis (p=0.012), run length nonuniformity (p=0.000), showed significant difference between two groups. Entering min intensity, 10th percentiles, voxel number, skewness, entropy, inverse difference moment, long run emphasis, short run emphasis, run length nonuniformity into logistic regression analysis, using step-by-step regression method it was obtained that skewness, entropy and long run emphasis are the independent risk factors, the prediction accuracy of logistic regression model is 86.9%, the regression coefficient, OR value and p value of them are shown in Table 2. Combining all independent risk factors into a joint variable, the ROC test showed that skewness, entropy, long run emphasis and joint variable feature significant difference between two groups (Figure 2). The AUC, cutoff value, sensitivity and specificity of the parameters are summarized in Table 3, and the best parameter is joint variable, the AUC is 0.956.
Figure a-b: WHO grade IV, T2WI (fig.a) shows mix signal intensity in tumor, necrotic area can be seen, with edema signal. In ADC maps (fig.b) ROI was drawn including the entire tumor and peripheral edema. Fig c-d, WHO grade II show uniform slightly higher intensity on T2WI (fig.c). In ADC maps (fig.d), ROI was drawn along the border of uniform mass. Fig.e is the ROC curve of skewness, entropy, long run emphasis and a joint variable of them, the AUC of them is 0.956, 0.774, 0.766, 0.602.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADC signal value texture analysis parameter</th>
<th>Low grade (n=14)</th>
<th>High grade (n=47)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min intensity</td>
<td>(1.22±0.42)×10²</td>
<td>(0.85±0.59)×10²</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max intensity</td>
<td>(2.32±0.09)×10²</td>
<td>(2.31±0.09)×10²</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intensity</td>
<td>(1.93±0.06)×10²</td>
<td>(1.92±0.03)×10²</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>(1.93±0.06)×10²</td>
<td>(1.89±0.07)×10²</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th percentiles</td>
<td>(1.83±0.04)×10²</td>
<td>(1.58±0.37)×10²</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th percentiles</td>
<td>(1.87±0.07)×10²</td>
<td>(1.84±0.16)×10²</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th percentiles</td>
<td>(1.94±0.05)×10²</td>
<td>(1.93±0.04)×10²</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th percentiles</td>
<td>(1.94±0.07)×10²</td>
<td>(1.94±0.04)×10²</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90th percentiles</td>
<td>(2.01±0.10)×10²</td>
<td>(2.01±0.08)×10²</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(1.11±0.47)×10²</td>
<td>(1.46±0.62)×10²</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voxel number*</td>
<td>(5.24±2.48)×10⁶</td>
<td>(1.26±0.89)×10⁷</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std deviation</td>
<td>(1.29±1.08)×10¹</td>
<td>(1.95±1.11)×10¹</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance*</td>
<td>(1.18±0.27)×10²</td>
<td>(2.15±0.98)×10²</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative deviation</td>
<td>(6.17±0.64)×10¹</td>
<td>(6.61±0.97)×10¹</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation</td>
<td>(1.10±0.88)×10⁴</td>
<td>(2.49±1.07)×10⁴</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness*</td>
<td>-0.95±1.53</td>
<td>-3.05±5.81</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis*</td>
<td>6.76±3.56</td>
<td>8.65±4.36</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity</td>
<td>0.93±0.06</td>
<td>0.92±0.85</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy *</td>
<td>0.09±0.03</td>
<td>0.12±0.06</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>3.40±0.97</td>
<td>4.40±0.94</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia*</td>
<td>2.78±1.32</td>
<td>4.51±2.43</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation*</td>
<td>0.18±0.04</td>
<td>0.11±0.06</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse difference moment</td>
<td>0.72±0.12</td>
<td>0.62±0.10</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long run emphasis *</td>
<td>0.99±0.95</td>
<td>0.99±0.97</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short run emphasis *</td>
<td>1.07±1.01</td>
<td>1.03±1.00</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey level nonuniformity*</td>
<td>(1.41±0.45)×10³</td>
<td>(2.28±0.95)×10³</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run length nonuniformity</td>
<td>(2.72±1.95)×10⁴</td>
<td>(5.67±3.94)×10⁴</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * on behalf of the nonnormal distribution, representation with median value±interquartile.²
### Table 2: Binary logistic regression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture analysis parameter</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>OR value (95%CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-1.0017</td>
<td>0.362(0.123, 0.662)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>1.887</td>
<td>6.598(5.322, 32.928)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long run emphasis</td>
<td>11.551</td>
<td>1.039(1.004, 1.108)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: ADC signal value texture parameters diagnostic ability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADC signal value texture parameters</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>cutoff value</th>
<th>sensitivity</th>
<th>specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint variance</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long run emphasis</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>4.069</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>-1.549</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. Discussion and Conclusion

Texture analysis is a new image post-processing technique, reflecting intrinsic properties include gray level statistical information, space and structure information, besides, contains the contact with surrounding environment of a given voxel [3]. Different grade glioma has different heterogeneity, tumor parenchyma and cystic, necrosis and hemorrhage area shows different signal on ADC maps, cause different texture, so as to realize quantitative analysis. In this study, min intensity and 10th percentiles showed significant difference between low and high grade glioma, suggesting that ADC value in low zone is more meaningful. In other words, the lower range of ADC better reflects the progress of higher cellularity. Standard deviation shows the level of data dispersion, higher standard deviation of ADC indicates larger regions of cystic, necrosis or haemorrhage. Skewness describes the symmetry of the curve distribution. Compared with low grade, the ADC value of high grade concentrate on low zone, the center of the histogram curve was shifted to left. Entropy and inverse difference moment reflect gray level uniformity of image, showed significant difference between low and high grade glioma, illustrate that high grade glioma is more nonuni form than low grade. Run emphasis reflect direction, distance and variability of texton quantitative, the long run emphasis increase and short run emphasis decrease significantly in high grade glioma compare with low grade, illustrate that high grade contains more long run factors and less short run factors, low grade glioma contains less long run factors and more short run factors, run length nonuniformity of high grade glioma is higher too. Overall, it is seen that texture analysis of ADC signal value based on entire tumor could provide more information in differentiation of low and high grade glioma. Through logistic regression analysis we obtain skewness, entropy, long run emphasis are the independent risk factors, and joint application of them showed superior diagnostic value.
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